

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/027,727 | 12/21/2001 | Chenghua Oliver Han | 22.1450 | 9783 | |
| 7590 12/17/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION | | | JOHNSON, STEPHEN | | |
| 14910 Airline Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 1590 | | | ART ONT | TATER NOMBER | |
| Rosharon, TX | 77583-1590 | | 3641 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | _ | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | • | 10/027,72 | 7 | HAN, CHENGHUA OLIVER | / | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Stephen M | 1 Johnson | 3641 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | | | _ | | | |
| Period fo | | • | | • | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In some of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In seperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no ever ply within the statu d will apply and will tte, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 (| October 2003 | <u>3</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This | s action is no | n-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1,12 and 17-32 is/are pending in the | e application. | | | | | | |
| ,— | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,12 and 17-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 1,12 and 17-32 are subject to restrict | ction and/or e | lection requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac | cepted or b)[| objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) b | e held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ection is require | ed if the drawing(s) is ob | ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. No | te the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| * 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document and copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the primapplication from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the form of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of | nts have been this have been to the cume that the certification of the c | n received. n received in Applicatents have been received 17.2(a)). fied copies not received 135 U.S.C. § 119(of the specification has been recorded to the specification of the | ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific | | | | |
| Attachme | | | _ | | | | | |
| 2) Noti | ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | · = · | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 3641

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of 1. the claimed invention: The application contains numerous different species with associated methods for making and using the species. The species are defined as listed below:

- a) number of grooves: 1, 2, 4, 5, or 6;
- b) shape of grooves: V-notch or U-notch;
- c) groove location: inside or outside; and
- d) groove orientation: axially (see figs. 4 or 10) or circumferentially (see fig. 11).

In order to elect a single species for prosecution, applicant should select one item from each of groups a) through d) above.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant's previous election wherein species a is elected is not what is required to make an election. Applicant must select one item from each of groups a) through d) above. Any response that does not include this will be considered a **non-responsive response**.
- 3. The substitute specification, filed on 6/27/2003, has been denied entry because it was not accompanied by a statement that the substitute specification does not contain any new matter.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 12, 17, and 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Renfro et al..

Renfro et al. disclose a perforating system and associated method comprising:

- a) a shaped charge with charge case; 14
- b) an explosive material; 28
- c) a plurality of slots about which the charge case fractures; 16
- d) a liner; and 50

· Application/Control Number: 10/027,727

Art Unit: 3641

e) a perforating string having a plurality of shaped charges.

col. 1, lines 8-12

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renfro et al. in view of Markel et al..

Renfro et al. apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a perforating string that is a loading tube and carrier; a spiral gun, or a strip gun. Markel et al. teach a perforating string that is a loading tube and carrier (figs. 6A and 6B); a spiral gun (figs. 5A or 5B); or a strip gun (figs. 2B or 4). Applicant is substituting a particular type of perforating string for a specific type of perforating string in an analogous art setting as explicitly encouraged by Markel et al. Markel et al. encourages substituting one perforating string for another by giving numerous alternative examples of prior art perforating strings known in this art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Markel et al. to the Renfro et al. perforating system and have a perforating system with an alternative perforating string.

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- Application/Control Number: 10/027,727

Art Unit: 3641

9. Claims 1, 12, 17-18, and 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chawla et al..

Chawla et al. disclose a perforating system and associated method comprising:

- a) a shaped charge with charge case; 36
- b) an explosive material; 18
- c) a plurality of slots about which the charge case fractures; 46
- d) a liner; and
- e) a perforating string having a plurality of shaped charges. see fig. 5
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Eriksson, Christopher, Owen et al., McCloud et al., Altenau et al., and Nikowitsch disclose other state of the art perforators.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326. The fax phone number for after final communications is (703) 872-9327.

- Application/Control Number: 10/027,727

Art Unit: 3641

Clyle v bon

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

SMJ

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641